

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

DOMINIQUE BROOKS aka  
DOMINIQUE REIGHARD,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 2:14-cv-976  
JUDGE GREGORY L. FROST  
Magistrate Judge Terence P. Kemp

SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S., LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

**ORDER**

This matter is before the Court for consideration of Defendants' motion to stay discovery (ECF No. 36), Plaintiff's memorandum in opposition (ECF No. 39), Defendants' reply memorandum (ECF No. 40), and Plaintiff's amended memorandum in opposition (ECF No. 42). Defendants ask the Court to stay all discovery until the Court issues a decision on Defendants' pending motion for summary judgment. Plaintiff opposes such a stay, arguing that discovery is needed to respond to the motion for summary judgment. Defendants counter that "Plaintiff has certainly had every opportunity to conduct sufficient discovery to respond to Defendants' dispositive motion." (ECF No. 40, at Page ID # 563.) The briefing paints two notably different pictures of how discovery has proceeded to date. Regardless of which depiction is correct, the Court finds insufficient cause for staying discovery during the pendency of the summary judgment motion. This Court therefore **DENIES** the motion to stay discovery. (ECF No. 36.)

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

/s/ Gregory L. Frost  
GREGORY L. FROST  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE